QUESTIONS

- 1. The bill we are considering proposes an increase in the maximum payment of compensation to \$685 from the current maximum of \$525 per month. We note that this is an increase of \$160 and that it has been 16 years since the current maximum of \$525 was established. It might help us all if we knew something more about the way the current maximum was ereached in 1949 and how the proposed limit of \$685 was determined.
 - a. What was the philosophy or theory under which the \$525 maximum limitation was established?
 - b. Can you tell us what grade levels this covered on an equal basis, or putting it in other words, through what grade levels was a disabled worker assured of at least his take-home pay?
 - c. Do we assume that the proposed maximum of \$685 takes into consideration the many changes in salary rates that have occurred since 1949 and that the proposed limit of \$685 would have about the same affect on Federal employees today as the \$525 maximum had in 1949?
 - d. Can you tell us whether there has been a change in philosophy or has some other yardstick been used in establishing the \$685 figure proposed in H.R. 10721?
 - e. What is your personal opinion as to whether all employees should be treated equally with respect to the receipt of compensation?
 - f. What maximum limit would be needed to at least cover the grade levels originally covered by the \$525 in 1949?
 - 2. a. What maximum dollar amount do you feel would be needed to assure all employees of equal treatment with respect to the payment disability or survivorship benefits.
 - b. Can you estimate for us how much more it would cost to cover adequately those additional employees whose compensation would exceed \$685?

- 3. May a disabled Federal employee be eligible for compensation benefits under the FECA as well as for retirement benefits—for disability retirement or for retirement for age?
- 4. Is there any distinction between the various Federal retirement systems now in effect for Federal employees with respect to the receipt of concurrent benefits under the FECA?